

MEDICAL AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The 31st March, 1971

No. 2301-HBII(ASO2)-71/9065.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that the existing paragraphs 3 and 4 of Haryana Government notification No. 8306-HBII (ASO2)-70/32843, dated 8th December, 1970 may be substituted by the following paragraphs.

“3. The Committee will meet every month. It will meet under the Chairmanship of the Minister-in-charge and in his absence, the Minister of State/Deputy Minister concern. In case neither of them is present, one of the non-officials present at the meeting will preside over it as may be mutually agreed upon by the members present.

4. Three members present of whom at least one is a non-official member shall form the quorum for a meeting.”

B. L. AHUJA,

Commissioner for Health and Secy.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT (LOTTERIES)

The 26th March, 1971

No. DOL/HR/71/1731-A.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint the following persons as judges for the supervision of the 27th Draw to be held on 29th March, 1971 :

- (i) Shri K. C. Gupta,
Economic & Statistical Adviser,
Haryana, Chandigarh.
- (ii) Shri L. Isa Dass,
Managing Director,
Dairy Development Corporation,
Haryana, Chandigarh
- (iii) Mrs. D. D. Sharma,
C/o Shri Sharma,
Secretary to Government, Haryana.
- (iv) Shri V. P. Dhawan,
Deputy Director, Food & Supplies
Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
- (v) Shri L. R. Dawar,
Kothli No. 79, Sector 5, Chandigarh.
- (vi) Shri G. N. Dutt,
Director Geological Survey of India,
Punjab, Haryana and H. P. Circle,
Chandigarh.
- (vii) Shri Jia Lal Jain,
Chief Accounts Officer,
Haryana State Electricity Board,
Chandigarh.

J. R. DHINGRA,
Director of Lotteries-cum-Deputy Secy.

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

The 17th March, 1971

No. 938-3Lab-71/8382.—In supersession of all earlier notifications in this behalf the Governor of Haryana is pleased to constitute the State Labour Advisory Board consisting of the following members.—

Officials

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Labour Minister, Haryana | .. Chairman |
| 2. Secretary, Labour and Employment, Haryana | .. Member |
| 3. Director of Industries, Haryana | .. Member |
| 4. Secretary, Local Self Government or his representative | .. Member |
| 5. Provincial Transport Controller, Haryana | .. Member |
| 6. Labour Commissioner, Haryana | .. Member-Secy. |

Employees' Representatives

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Shri B. L. Kejriwal, President, Faridabad Industries Association Faridabad | .. Member |
| 2. Shri Ranjit Puri, Indian Sugar and General Engineering Corporation Yamuna Nagar | .. Member |
| 3. Shri P. D. Makharia, General Manager, TIT Mills, Bhiwani. | |
| 4. Shri Raunaq Singh, Managing Director, Bharat Steel Tubes, Gantotri | .. Member |

Workers Representatives

| | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Shri G. C. Joshi, General Secretary, INTUC, Haryana Sharam Shiver, Yamuna Nagar. | Member |
| 2. Shri R. D. Shastri, Vice-President, INTUC, Haryana, Sonepat | Member |
| 3. Shri Makhan Singh, General Secretary, AITUC Haryana, Bhiwani | |
| 4. Shri Kanwal Dev Kapil, M. L. A., Haryana, Faridabad. | |

This Headquarters of Board would be at Chandigarh and it may hold its sessions/meetings at any other place in the Haryana as and when necessary.

Members of the Legislature if any nominated on the Board will act in their personal capacity

The members of the Board will draw T.A. according to the principles set out in para 3 (viji) of the Chlef Secretary U. O. No. 18-PPA(5)-66, dated 23rd November, 1968. Non-officials other than M.L.As/M. Ps shall draw T.A. and daily allowance at one 1st Class Railway fare plus incidental allowance and Road mileage as admissible to a 1st Grade Government Employee drawing a pay of Rs 1,000/- and Rs 9.00/ 11.25/13.50 in plains/Hills/Special Hills tracts as daily all owance. The other conditions laid down in Punjab T. A. Rules for Government Employees will also apply to journeys performed by non-official members except where otherwise provided.

The Labour Commissioner, Haryana, shall countersign the T. A. bills of all the non-official members of the Board for attending the meeting of the Board.

The expenditure in respect of T. A. of the non-official members of the Board shall be debited to the Head 38—Labour and Employment B-1-Labour (Non-Plan) during the year 1971-72.

The Board is constituted for a period of one year with effect from the date of notification. The meeting of the Board may be convened at any time as and when considered necessary by the Chairman.

B. L. AHUJA,

Commissioner for Labour & Employment, and Sec.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT
The 7th January, 1971

No. 2840-4Lab-71/8429.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and in supersession of Haryana

Government notification No. 7-III-Lab-66/2125, dated the 8th December, 1966, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs that the powers exercisable by the State Government under sub-section (1) of section 23 G of the said Act shall be exercisable also by the Labour Commissioner, Haryana,

The 30th March, 1971

No. 2736-1Lab-71/7756.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Qualitex Machinery (P) Limited., Ballabgarh.

**BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK**

Reference No. 152/70

between

**THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S QUALITEX
MACHINERY, (P) LTD., BALLABGARH**

Present :—

Shri Roshan Lal Sharma, on behalf of the workman.

Shri S. P. Doubey, on behalf of the management.

AWARD

Shri Kanhiya Lal was in the service of M/s Qualitex Machinery Private Limited, Faridabad. His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly, the Governor of

Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication, —*vide* Government Gazette notification No. ID/FD/348-A/27064-68, dated 4th September, 1970.—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kanhiya Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the letter usual notices were issued to the parties in response to which a statement of claim was filed on behalf of the workman and the management filed their written statement. The plea of the management is that Shri Kanhiya Lal was employed by the respondent as learner and he stopped coming to the mill of his own accord as he was not working properly and was instigating other workmen not to give proper production. It is also pleaded that the workman raised a dispute and during the course of negotiation a settlement was arrived at on 19th August, 1970 by which an amount of Rs 622.31 paise were paid to him in full and final settlement of his claim. The pleading of the parties gave rise to the following issue:—

1. Where the workman stopped coming to duty on his own accord because he was not giving proper production and his name was struck off because of his continued absence?
2. Whether the workman executed the settlement, dated 19th August, 1970 and received Rs. 622.31 paise in full and final settlement and executed the receipt Ex. M2.

Shri Khushal Chand Sood, Works Superintendent has appeared as witness on behalf of the management and stated that he marks the attendance of all the workmen and that Kanhiya Lal worked only up to 20th May, 1970 and thereafter he became absent and his name was struck off from the muster-roll on 31st May, 1970 on account of continued absence. The workman has led no evidence in rebuttal. He has not even cared to appear as witness in support of his case that management terminated his services without any reason. I therefore hold that the workman himself was responsible for putting an end to the service.

Issue No. 2.—Shri Chaman Lal who is also a workman in the respondent concern and who is an attesting witness to the agreement Ex. M1 has proved that the workman received a sum of Rs. 622.31 paise in full and final settlement. Agreement Ex. M1 as also the receipt Ex. M2 and voucher Ex. M2/A are duly proved by the evidence of the witness. The workman has led no evidence in rebuttal on this point as well. I therefore held that the workman received a sum of Rs. 622.31 paise in full and final settlement and he is not entitled to any relief. I give my award accordingly.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Rohtak,
Camp. — Faridabad

No. 455, dated the 8th March, 1971.

This award is submitted to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL.
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak,
Camp. — Faridabad.

B. L. AHUJA,
Commissioner for Labour and Employment,
and Secretary to Government, Haryana.